ayny

Tetrahydro-1,3-oxazepines via Intramolecular Amination of Cyclopropylmethyl Cation

Marija Skvorcova, Liene Grigorjeva, and Aigars Jirgensons*

Latvian Institute of Organic Synthesis, Riga LV-1006, Latvia

S Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-2-0) An efficient synthesis of tetrahydro-1,3-oxazepines was developed involving the regioselective intramolecular amination of cyclopropylmethyl cation. The cation was generated by the abstraction of one imidate group in bisimidate bearing a carbocation-stabilizing substituent. Using 1,1,2,3-tetrasubstituted cyclopropane substrates, highly diastereoselective intramolecular amination to trans-tetrahydro-1,3-

oxazepines was achieved. The resulting tetrahydro-1,3-oxazepines were transformed to the homoallylamine derivatives in high yields.

Structural investigations of cyclopropylmethyl cation ¹ have Shown that it exists as an equilibrating mixture of $\pi\sigma$ delocalized bisected cyclopropylmethyl cation 1A and nonclassical bicyclobutonium ion 1B (Figure 1).^{1,2} The carbocation

Figure 1. Regioselectivity in cyclopropylmethyl cation reaction with nucleophiles.

1 is often represented as 1C which is a hybrid of the proposed discrete structures 1A and 1B. The reaction of cyclopropylmethyl cation 1C with nucleophiles can occur at any of the three possible sites bearing partial positive charge leading to homoallyl,³ cyclopropylmethyl,^{2a,3a,j,4} or cyclobutyl^{4,5} derivatives 2−4. Several regioselective reactions of cyclopropylmethyl cation 1 [w](#page-2-0)ith nucleophiles h[ave](#page-2-0) [be](#page-2-0)en reported a[s](#page-2-0) a useful approach to products based on structures 2–4.^{3–5}

Although not systematically studied, the available experimental data suggest that regioselectivity of [int](#page-2-0)ramolecular cyclization is mainly controlled by the geometric constraints and/or effects of cyclopropane substituents. A carbocation stabilizing group can be used to direct the addition of nucleophile to cyclopropylmethyl cation 1C presumably via inducing electron distribution in favor of the classical carbocation.

Few studies have been reported for amination reactions of cyclopropylmethylcarbocation.^{3j,l,5e} The reason for that could be the limited range of amine nucleophiles compatible with acidic conditions typically [used](#page-2-0) to initiate the reaction. Previously, we⁶ as well as others⁷ have demonstrated that bisimidates are convenient systems for amination of carbocations. In bis-imidates, one of the imidates serves as the leaving group when activated with an acid catalyst while the other acts as an N-nucleophile. Following this approach, it was explored whether carbenium ion 6C derived from readily available bisimidate 5 can be regioselectively aminated depending on the cyclopropane substituent (Table 1).

Initial studies showed that substrate 5a containing phenyl substituent selectively forms ho[mo](#page-1-0)allyl carbocation amination product 7a when exposed to Lewis acid catalyst (Table 1, entry 1). Screening of catalysts revealed that relatively weak Lewis acids such as $Cu(OTf)_{2}$ and $(CuOTf)_{2} \cdot C_{6}H_{6}$ were the [o](#page-1-0)ptimal catalysts for the reaction. Stronger Lewis acids or acids containing nucleophilic counterions led to decomposition of product 7 (see the Supporting Information for details). Further, the substrate scope with respect to the cyclopropane substituent was ex[plored. Bis-imidates](#page-2-0) 5 bearing aryl substituent with electron donating groups (entries 2, 3, and 5) afforded tetrahydro-1,3-oxazepines in excellent yields. Amination of bisimidates 5 having electron-poor aryl groups (entries 4 and 15) gave satisfying results only for substrate 5d (entry 4). Bisimidates 5 bearing electron-rich heteroaryl substituents also provided the expected product 7 (entries 6 and 9−11). The reaction was not limited only to aryl carbocation stabilizing groups. Substrates bearing vinyl substituent (entries 7 and 8) gave high product yield. Bis-imidates 5b containing groups with lower carbocation stabilizing ability such as ethyl (entry 13) or alkynyl (entry 14) led to the formation of a product mixture. However, if the alkyl group contained a silyl group as a β cation-stabilizing substituent, the amination product was obtained in good yield (entry 12).

Tetrahydro-1,3-oxazepine derivatives 7 are masked unsaturated amino alcohols which are valuable multifunctional

Received: April 8, 2015 Published: June 3, 2015

^aBis-imidate (0.5 mmol), $Cu(OTf)_{2}$ (0.05 mmol), $CH_{2}Cl_{2}$ (5 mL). Yields are isolated yields. Please see the Supporting Information for details. b Cu(OTf)₂ (0.005 mmol). c (CuOTf)₂·C₆H₆ (0.05 mmol). d Mixture of products. ^dMixture of products.

intermediates. However, there is a limited number of methods available to access this type of amino alcohol.⁸ In order to demonstrate the utility of tetrahydro-1,3-oxazepines 7, several examples were transformed to amino alcohol derivatives 9 (Table 2). The one-pot, two-step procedure involved cleavage of cyclic imidate function with acetic acid followed by methanolysis of the intermediate 8.

The cyclization studies with enantioenriched bis-imidate S-5a showed that tetrahydro-1,3-oxazepine 7a forms with considerable degree of racemization (Scheme 1).

Table 2. Transformation of Tetrahydro-1,3-oxazepines 7 to Amino Alcohols 9^a

 a Key: (1) tetrahydro-1,3-oxazepine (1.0 mmol), Ac₂O (1 mL), AcOH (1 mL) ; (2) K₂CO₃ (3.0 mmol), MeOH (2 mL). Yields are isolated yields. Please see the Supporting Information for details.

Scheme 1. Chirality Transfer in the Cyclization of Enanatioenriched Substrate S-5a

To determine if the racemization is associated with unselective abstraction of the imidate group, substrates $cis-d_2$ -5a and trans- d_2 -5a with deuterium labeling at the methylene position were prepared (Scheme 2). In both substrates, the

imidate group trans to the phenyl group was selectively abstracted to give the corresponding deuterium labeled regioisomers d_2 -rac-7a' and d_2 -rac-7a", respectively (only one isomer in each case was detected by ${}^{1}H$ NMR). The exclusive trans-imidate elimination would be difficult to explain by the accessibility of the sterically less hindered imidate group to the catalyst. More likely, these results point to specific stereoelectronic requirement for the leaving group to facilitate the formation of cyclopropylmethyl cation/homolallyl cation.

Having established that abstraction of the imidate is selective, the partial loss of enantioselectivity in the product 7a formation obviously stems from the availability of both faces of carbocation 6C/6C′. Nevertheless, the chirality was preserved to some extent which is difficult to explain. This could be related to a partial nature of nonclassical carbocation intermediate since the planar homoallyl cation would lead to complete racemization.

Diastereoselective amination of carbocation 6C/6C′ bearing an additional substituent was explored (Scheme 3). Bis-imidate 11 was prepared from readily accessible stereochemically defined dicarboxylic acid derivative 10. ⁹ Ami[n](#page-2-0)ation of bisimidate 11 gave trans-substituted tetrahydro-1,3-oxazepine 12 as the only detectable isomer. Configur[at](#page-2-0)ion of the reaction product 12 was determined by X-ray analysis of the derivatization product−diol 13.

In summary, we have demonstrated that a cyclopropylmethyl cation generated by the abstraction of one imidate group in bisimidates undergoes regioselective intramolecular amination. A homoallylamine derivative was formed selectively if cyclopropane contained a carbocation stabilizing substituent. The resulting tetrahydro-1,3-oxazepines were transformed to unsaturated amino alcohol derivatives. It was demonstrated that highly diastereoselective cyclization to trans-substituted tetrahydrooxazepine could be achieved starting from 1,1,2,3 tetrasubstituted cyclopropane substrates.

Scheme 3. Diastereoselective Cyclization of Bis-imidate 11 to Oxazepine 12 and Derivatization to Amino Alcohol 13

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Detailed experimental procedures and characterization data for new compounds. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/ acs.orglett.5b01014.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: aigars@osi.lv.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the Latvian Council of Science (Grant No. 593/2014) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dmitrijs Stepanovs (Latvian Institute of Organic Synthesis) for perfoming X-ray analysis and Kristaps Jaudzems (Latvian Institute of Organic Synthesis) for assistance with 2D NMR spectra.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For recent investigations on the structure of cyclopropylmethyl cation, see: (a) Franco, M.; Rosenbach, N.; Ferreira, G. B.; Guerra, A. C. O.; Kover, W. B.; Turci, C. C.; Mota, C. J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1592. (b) Olah, G. A.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Rasul, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9168. (c) Kling, D. P.; Machado, E. S. A.; Chagas, H. C.; dos Santos, A. P. A.; Rosenbach, N., Jr.; Walkimar Carneiro, J.; Mota, C. J. A. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4480.

(2) For representative seminal investigations on cyclopropylmethyl cation, see: (a) Mazur, R. H.; White, W. N.; Semenow, D. A.; Lee, C. C.; Silver, M. S.; Roberts, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 4390. (b) Olah, G. A.; Jeuell, C. L.; Kelly, D. P.; Porter, R. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 146. (c) Staral, J. S.; Yavari, I.; Roberts, J. D.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Donovan, D. J.; Olah, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 8016. (3) (a) Sarel, S.; Yovell, J.; Sarel-Imber, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1968, 7, 577. (b) Poulter, C. D.; Winstein, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 4282. (c) Kanemoto, S.; Shimizu, M.; Yoshioka, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 663. (d) Yadav, V. K.; Balamurugan, R. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2717. (e) Yadav, V. K.; Balamurugan, R. Chem. Commun. 2002, 514. (f) Yadav, V. K.; Vijaya Kumar, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8652. (g) Honda, M.; Yamamoto, Y.; Tsuchida, H.; Segi, M.; Nakajima, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 6465. (h) Honda, M.; Mita, T.; Nishizawa, T.; Sano, T.; Segi, M.; Nakajima, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 5751. (i) Zanirato, V.; Pollini, G. P.; De Risi, C.; Valente, F.; Melloni, A.; Fusi, S.; Barbetti, J.; Olivucci, M.

Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 4975. (j) Rao, W.; Chan, P. W. H. Chem.-Eur. J. 2008, 14, 10486. (k) Mothe, S. R.; Kothandaraman, P.; Rao, W.; Chan, P. W. H. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2521. (l) Kothandaraman, P.; Huang, C.; Susanti, D.; Rao, W.; Chan, P. W. H. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 10081. (m) Kranz, D. P.; Chiha, S.; Meier zu Greffen, A.; Neudörfl, J.-M.; Schmalz, H.-G. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3692.

(4) Caserio, M. C.; Graham, W. H.; Roberts, J. D. Tetrahedron 1960, 11, 171.

(5) (a) Wilt, J. W.; Roberts, D. D. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 3430. (b) Kanemoto, S.; Shimizu, M.; Yoshioka, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 6313. (c) Hardouin, C.; Taran, F.; Doris, E. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 4450. (d) Bernard, A. M.; Cadoni, E.; Frongia, A.; Piras, P. P.; Secci, F. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2565. (e) Shi, M.; Tian, G.-Q. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 8059. (f) Bernard, A. M.; Frongia, A.; Guillot, R.; Piras, P. P.; Secci, F.; Spiga, M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 541. (g) Chen, A.; Lin, R.; Liu, Q.; Jiao, N. Chem. Commun. 2009, 6842.

(6) (a) Grigorjeva, L.; Jirgensons, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 2011, 2421. (b) Klimovica, K.; Grigorjeva, L.; Maleckis, A.; Popelis, J.; Jirgensons, A. Synlett 2011, 22, 2849. (c) Grigorjeva, L.; Maleckis, A.; Klimovica, K.; Skvorcova, M.; Ivdra, N.; Leitis, G.; Jirgensons, A. Chem. Heterocycl. Compd. 2012, 48, 919. (d) Jirgensons, A.; Grigorjeva, L.; Maleckis, A.; Klimovica, K. Synlett 2013, 24, 2345. (e) Grigorjeva, L.; Kinens, A.; Jirgensons, A. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 920.

(7) (a) Link, J. T.; Gallant, M.; Danishefsky, S. J.; Huber, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 3782. (b) Link, J. T.; Raghavan, S.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 552. (c) Rondot, C.; Retailleau, P.; Zhu, J. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 247. (d) Ramstadius, C.; Hekmat, O.; Eriksson, L.; Stålbrand, H.; Cumpstey, I. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2009, 20, 795.

(8) (a) Trost, B. M.; Bonk, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1778. (b) Van der Louw, J.; van der Baan, J. L.; Stichter, H.; Out, G. J. J.; de Kanter, F. J. J.; Bickelhaupt, F.; Klumpp, G. W. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 9877. (c) Gastner, T.; Ishitani, H.; Akiyama, R.; Kobayashi, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2001, 40, 1896. (d) Brown, R. C. D.; Fisher, M. L.; Brown, L. J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 2699. (e) Shintani, R.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6330. (f) Shintani, R.; Park, S.; Duan, W.-L.; Hayashi, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2007, 46, 5901. (g) Rajender, A.; Rao, J. P.; Rao, B. V. Tetrahedron Asymmetry 2011, 22, 1306. (h) Hickin, J. A.; Ahmed, A.; Fucke, K.; Ashcroft, M.; Jones, K. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 1238.

(9) Marcoux, D.; Charette, A. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 10155.